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Abstract. This paper presents an approach to collaboratively building corpora through digitisation. The discussion is based on the project Digital Romansh Chrestomathy (DRC)\(^1\), which deals with the collaborative correction and enrichment of a Rhaeto-Romanic text collection using a web-based editing environment. As a side effect, the language community is integrated into the process of an active language preservation.
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1 Introduction

The DRC is part of a series of projects aiming at creating a Rhaeto-Romanic corpus based on an existing historical text collection. The DRC project focuses on the collaborative correction of recognition errors from Optical Character Recognition (OCR), comparable to the approaches of the Australian Newspapers Digitisation Program (ANDP)\(^2\), which successfully established a web-based platform for correcting digitised newspapers (cf. [3]), and Wikisource\(^3\), a sister project of Wikipedia dedicated to collaboratively building a library of source texts.

While the basic goal of providing a collaboratively corrected Rhaeto-Romanic text corpus can be claimed to be achieved, a number of methodological questions arose during the project. After briefly sketching our approach, these questions will be discussed with regard to the specific language situation for Romansh.

2 The Digital Romansh Chrestomathy

Text basis of the DRC project is the “Rätoromanische Chrestomathie” (Romansh Chrestomathy, RC) by Caspar Decurtins [1], originally published 1888-1919 in the journal “Romanische Forschungen” (Erlangen: Junge). With approx. 7500 pages, the RC can be regarded as the most important text collection of the Rhaeto-Romanic language (cf. [2]).

\(^1\) http://www.crestomazia.ch
\(^2\) http://www.nla.gov.au/ndp
\(^3\) http://wikisource.org
Central to our approach is the collaborative editing environment shown in figure 1, which juxtaposes the OCR texts and the corresponding digital images. The pages can be selected according to the metadata adopted from the project *Digizeitschriften*. Following wiki-principles, corrections, annotations, and comments can be contributed by volunteers. All changes are logged (combined with information on the editing user and a time stamp), providing an editing history for every word token. Based on this, a simple rating system was introduced to keep track of the editing effort of the different users.

For OCR we used *Abbyy Finereader*. By using Abbyy’s pattern training facility a recognition rate of about 96.5% could be achieved, which can be rated as “average OCR accuracy” (cf. [4]). Due to the high diversity of the RC, no appropriate lexical resources were available to additionally support the OCR.

With the help of local partners in Switzerland, the project attracted about 140 users since the launch of the web page in June 2011, out of which about 20 can be considered as active participators. Until today (April 2013) more than 4

---

4 Following a single sourcing strategy, the implementation is based on the *Remote Application Platform* (http://eclipse.org/rap/). For implementation details see [5].

5 The digital images of the RC were provided by the project *Digizeitschriften* (http://www.digizeitschriften.de) and OCRed with *Abbyy Finereader*. 
90% of the RC have been validated or corrected. During the correction phase approx. 13.7% of the running word forms were modified (about 375,000 out of 2.74 million in total).

The consequent step in building a corpus usable for research purposes is its linguistic annotation, which is subject to the follow-up project *Annotated Romansh Chrestomathy* (ARC) starting in May 2013. Analogous to the OCR correction task, we take a collaborative approach to linguistic annotation by combining automatic and manual processing steps which are conducted in a circular manner: After a first annotation cycle based on lexical lookups, the annotations are collaboratively reviewed and corrected by the language community. The linguistic knowledge gained from the process of lexical annotation and manual correction serves as input to a subsequent automatic tagging cycle using the *TreeTagger* (cf. [9]). For further details on the current project see [7].

### 3 Collaboratively Building a Corpus - a Case Study

The overall aims of the two projects basically have two dimensions: Philologically, to provide a corpus of Romansh for research purposes, and technically, to create an integrated workbench for both OCR correction and linguistic annotation. While the technical side is described in [5] and [7], this section focuses on some methodological issues with regard to the specific language situation of Romansh. Since the correction process is still ongoing, no final evaluation can be offered, especially with regard to the linguistic annotation, which has not commenced.

A substantial result of the project is a freely accessible corpus of Romansh, that can be extended by adding further texts in a uniform manner. The philological benefit lies in the extraordinary language situation mirrored by the corpus, enabling diachronic and diatopical studies, e.g. on language contact and language change. Covering the five main idioms of Romansh and comprising different text types and genres from four centuries, the RC builds an excellent basis for a Rhaeto-Romanic text corpus (cf. [8]). Although the corpus does not take into account recent developments like the standardised language Rumantsch Grischun, its rich dialectal diversity can potentially influence the discussion about the status of the different idioms.

Besides the fact that both OCR correction and annotation can not be solved in a fully automatic manner, our main motivation for choosing a collaborative approach is the special language situation of Romansh as a minority language. Having a long and vivid tradition of language preservation and the majority of the speakers being at least bilingual, current speakers of Romansh can be regarded to have a certain expertise of their language. In combination with the text-to-image linking feature of the editor (highlighting), the expertise of being a native speaker is sufficient for this kind of proofreading task.

However, when it comes to the task of collaborative annotation this is clearly not the case. Instead of linguistic laypersons we will have to address users with a

---

6 The ARC primarily aims at part-of-speech tagging, but it is planned to subsequently add further analysis, e.g. named entities, geo-referencing, chunking and parsing, etc.
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dedicated linguistic background (e.g. students, teachers) and additional tagging
guidelines. Beyond this the annotation work itself will have to be coordinated to
 gain appropriate training data for automatic tagging. It is planned to distribute
well-defined portions of text among the editors, each of these being annotated
twice with the rates of inter-annotator agreement being measured (cf. [7]).

For it was one of the objectives to find out how far we can get with an
completely unrestricted approach, the correction process itself was not regulated.
Instead we relied on the self-regulation through the community and the editors
were free to pick whatever part of the corpus they were interested in.7 As a
result, the greatest part of the RC was proofread only once, so that we cannot
give meaningful measures intercorrector agreement. In retrospect, we clearly see
room for improvement here, not only by coordinating the correction process more
directly, but also in giving more control to the users by displaying the correction
status (overall vs. per page) in the editing environment more clearly, e.g. as done
in Wikisource or the ANDP, respectively.

4 Conclusions

This paper reports on a series of projects to collaboratively create a corpus of
Romansh. Central to our approach is a web-based editor to involve members
of the Romansh language community in the process of OCR correction and
linguistic annotation. Although the editing environment was developed in the
context of a specific use case and with regard to the specific demands arising
from a multilingual, orthographically not standardised text basis, it is adaptable
to virtually any other text collection. All software is published open source.8

The DRC project strongly supports the initial assumption that involving
volunteers in the correction process is a viable option. The DRC is thus shar-
ing the positive experiences made within the ANDP and Wikisource. However,
some problems connected to our approach remain to be solved in future work. Al-
though the acquisition of volunteers was successful and the participating speakers
worked very thoroughly, the quality of the corrections can only be assured when
the collaborative work is more clearly regulated. Especially when it comes to
collaborative annotation, an unrestricted approach will certainly not be feasible.
For the upcoming task of annotating the DRC it is thus essential to make the
editing process more transparent to the users through explicit guidelines and by
adjusting the editing environment to allow for more user interaction. Without
these features, we will not achieve our goal of providing an integrated workbench
for collaboratively building corpora.

7 No personal data (e.g. cultural background, other languages) other than the optional
entry of the geographical area of origin was collected. To what extent these factors
might have influenced the volunteer work can therefore not be evaluated.
8 http://github.com/spinfo/drc
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