Teaching neural networks to be **Bayesian without** likelihoods

Jakob Macke www.mackelab.org Computational Neuroengineering, TU München Neural Systems Analysis, research center caesar



research center caesar an associate of the Max Planck Society



Bayesian inference is very useful.

The brain might be making use of Bayesian inference.

For Bayesian inference, one needs likelihoods.

For most models in cognitive science and neuroscience, likelihoods are not tractable.

(How) can we do Bayesian inference without likelihoods?

All we need is a simulator and neural networks.

Bayesian inference: Finding parameters of a model which are consistent with data and prior knowledge





For 'simulation based' models, we can **simulate** x, but we can not **evaluate** the likelihood p(x|params).





Idea and Figures from Brehmer et al 2018



 $p(\theta|x) \propto p(x|\theta)p(\theta)$

likelihood: often not tractable

posterior: hard to compute

Bayesian inference is intractable for many models in neuroscience and cognitive science.

How can we do **Bayesian inference**, if all we have is a **simulator**, but **no likelihoods**?

Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) Blum & Francois 2010, Papamakarios & Murray 2016

$$C\dot{V} = \sum_{c} \bar{g}_{c} g_{c} [E_{c} - V] + I$$









 $P(\theta|x_o) = \mathrm{NN}_{\phi}(x = x_o)$



$$P(\theta|x_o) = \mathrm{NN}_{\phi}(x = x_o)$$

Lückmann, Goncalves et al, NIPS 2017

Application I: Estimating receptive fields of neurons in primary visual cortex



Giacomo Bassetto, Marcel Nonnenmacher



STA and ground-truth RF



STA and posterior RF draws





Application II: Inferring parameters of biophysical neurons models

$$C_m \frac{dV}{dt} = g_{\text{leak}}(E_{\text{leak}} - V) + \bar{g}_{\text{Na}}m^3h(E_{\text{Na}} - V) + \bar{g}_{\text{K}}n^4(E_{\text{K}} - V) + \bar{g}_M p(E_{\text{K}} - V) + I_{\text{inj}} + \sigma(t)$$









Inferring connectivity rules in cortical circuits (with M. Oberlaender, caesar Bonn, DFG SPP Connectomics)

Effects of prior expectation on probabilistic computations (DFG SFB Robust Vision with H Nienborg and F Wichmann)

Inferring action potentials from 2photon imaging data (Speiser et al, NIPS 2017)

Source reconstruction for super-resolution microscopy (Speiser et al, in prep., leaderboard on EPFL challenge)





- Flexibility: Inference approach does not depend on specifics of forward model.
- Amortized inference: Once the network is trained, inference is very fast.
- A strategy for efficient inference in the brain?
- Lots of work to be done to make this user-friendly.

Thanks to



Dr. Pedro Goncalves

Group

- G Bassetto
- **J** Boelts
- A Cantaro
- F Franzen
- D Greenberg
- P Goncalves
- JM Lückmann
- M Nonnenmacher
- K Öcal
- P Ramesh
- A Rene
- A Speiser



Jan-Matthis Lückmann

Collaborators

- D Barrett, A Morcos, Deepmind
- M Bethge, P Berens, P Hennig, Tübingen
- R Haefner, Rochester
- J Kerr, M Oberlaender, caesar
- A Longtin, Ottawa
- F Mormann, Bonn Epileptology
- H Nienborg + F Wichmann, Tübingen
- S Remy, DZNE Bonn
- S Turaga, HHMI Janelia
- G Zeck, D Rathbun, E Zrenner, K Stingl, Tübingen

D Zoccolan, A Laio, SISSA Trieste





research center caesar an associate of the Max Planck Society



SFB 1089





Human Frontier Science Program

1	b	
200	20	Ł
- 31		F

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

IKT 2020 Machine Learning

code and preprints at www.mackelab.org